

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Overview of steps checking traceability of measurement during the process of breath analysers verification using certified reference material.

To cite this article: S uriš *et al* 2018 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1065** 082009

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

Overview of steps checking traceability of measurement during the process of breath analysers verification using certified reference material.

S Ďuriš¹, Z Ďurišová², G Wimmer^{3,4}, P Adam^{1,5}

¹ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Slovak University of Technology, Bratislava, Slovakia

² Slovak Institute of Metrology, Bratislava, Slovakia

³ Faculty of Science, Matej Bel University, Banská Bystrica, Slovakia

⁴ Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia

⁵ Slovak Legal Metrology, n.o., Banská Bystrica, Slovakia

E-mail: stanislav.duris@stuba.sk

Abstract. Breath analysers are measuring devices that in Slovakia must be verified. The verification is done by using certified reference materials of ethanol in nitrogen in 4 concentration levels of ethanol. Here we offer an overview of steps, which are checking the traceability of measurement from the process of verification up to traceability of used reference material to SI unit of mass kg. Each single step is described and has its uncertainty budget.

1. Introduction

The verification of breath analysers is done using certified reference material (CRM) of ethanol in nitrogen in 4 concentrations to cover the full measurement range of the analyser. These CRMs are: 0,00008 mol/mol, 0,00026 mol/mol, 0,0005 mol/mol and 0,0007 mol/mol of ethanol. These CRMs are one after another applied to breath analyser, each 6 times (10 times in case of initial verification) and the measured values are collected for evaluation of maximum permissible errors criteria.

The evaluation of these criteria is done using the average value and its uncertainty. That is why we should check the traceability of measurement.

Because the fulfil of maximum permissible errors criteria and consequently the authorization to use the breath analyser strongly depends on the uncertainty of measurement, it should be determined by correct way. One of the components of measurement uncertainty determined by method B is the uncertainty of secondary CRM used for verification of breath analyser. The uncertainty of secondary CRM is determined by comparison with primary CRM of ethanol in nitrogen.

¹ Stanislav Ďuriš E-mail: stanislav.duris@stuba.sk



2. Single steps of checking traceability

2.1 Verification of breath analysers

For verification of breath analysers we use CRMs of ethanol in nitrogen in 4 concentrations: 0,00008 mol/mol, 0,00026 mol/mol, 0,0005 mol/mol and 0,0007 mol/mol of ethanol in nitrogen. Here is given an example of measurement with the first concentration – 0,00008 mol/mol of ethanol in nitrogen.

Values and their uncertainties measured during the verification of breath analyser:

Concentration of CRM (mol/mol)	0,00007868	
U_{CRM} (mol/mol) k=2	0,0000007	
U_{CRM} (mg/L) k=2	0,00126	
u_{CRM} (mol/mol)	0,00000035	
u_{CRM} (mg/L)	0,00063	rectangular
u_{ind} (mg/L)	0,00029	rectangular
average SD	0,00389	normal
u_A (mg/L)	0,00505	normal
u_B ($u_{CRM} + u_{ind}$) (mg/L)	0,00029	rectangular
u_C (mg/L)	0,0051	
$U_{k=2}$ (mg/L)	0,0101	
Concentration of CRM (X) (mg/L)	0,142	
Measured values	1	0,131
	2	0,156
	3	0,143
	4	0,147
	5	0,147
	6	0,157
average (x_{aver}) mg/L	0,147	
difference ($x_{aver} - x_{CRM}$) mg/L	0,005	
abs(difference) + U (mg/L)	0,0150	
Maximum permissible error mg/L	0,020	

where

u_A is the uncertainty determined by method A - standard deviation of 6 measurement,

u_B is the uncertainty determined by method B - $u_{CRM} + u_{ind}$,

u_{CRM} is the uncertainty from the CRM used for verification of breath analyser,

u_{ind} is the uncertainty from the number of decimal digits, appearing on the breath analyser during the verification,

u_C is combined uncertainty.

The result of verification is, that this device fulfil the requirements of maximum permissible error, because the difference between the result of measurement and the reference value is 0,0150 mg/L and the maximum permissible error is 0,020 mg/L.

2.2 Determination of certified value of secondary certified reference material

For verification of breath analysers we use secondary CRMs, with certified values of concentration and their uncertainties. Here are the details of secondary CRM used for verification of breath analysers.

No. of the CRM certificate	S139/09
No. of the CRM cylinder	750060
Concentration of CRM (mol/mol)	0,00007868
U_{CRM} (mol/mol) k=2	0,0000007

Secondary CRMs are prepared by manufacturer and certified values of secondary CRMs are determinate by comparison with primary CRMs of similar concentration.[1] Detailed procedure of certified value determination is given in: Ďuriš S, Ďurišová Z, Dovica M, Wimmer G: EIV calibration of gas mixture of ethanol in nitrogen. Advanced Mathematical and Computational Tools in Metrology and Testing XI, International Conference, pp.5-6 [2]

For certified value and its uncertainty determination of mixture mentioned above we used primary CRM prepared at our institute.

Secondary CRM: 0,00007868 mol/mol, $U = 0,0000007$ mol/mol

Primary CRM: 0,00008127 mol/mol, $U = 0,00000026$ mol/mol

After the comparison measurement of secondary CRM is calculated the certified value (molar fraction) of secondary CRM x_C and its uncertainty $u(x_C)$ from the response – signal of the measurement system (multimeter connected to nondispersive infrared spectrometer) y_C and its uncertainty $u(y_C)$:

$$y_C = \text{average value from } (y_{C1}, y_{C2}, \dots, y_{C10})$$

$$u(y_C) = (1/\sqrt{10}) \text{ standard deviation from } (y_{C1}, y_{C2}, \dots, y_{C10})$$

Molar fractions of component in final mixture x_C are determined from the analytical function $G(y)$.

$$x_C = G(y_C)$$

Parameters of analytic function G are determined by Deming least squares method, where G is suitable function (linear, quadratic, cubic, etc.) characterizing the measured calibration points.

The standard uncertainty is calculated according to law of propagation of uncertainty as [3]

$$u^2(x_C) = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial y_C} \right)^2 u^2(y_C) + \sum_{k=1}^M \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial b_k} \right)^2 u^2(b_k) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \sum_{l=k+1}^M \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial b_k} \right) \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial b_l} \right) \text{cov}(b_k, b_l)$$

where

- $u(x_C)$ - standard uncertainty of certified value x_C - normal distribution,
- $u(y_C)$ - standard uncertainty of the response - normal distribution,
- $u(b_k)$ - standard uncertainty of the analytical function parameter b_k ,

$\text{cov}(b_k, b_1)$ - covariance between parameters b_k and b_1 evaluated by regression analysis.

The expanded uncertainty $U(x_i)$ is: $U(x_i) = k \cdot u(x_i)$ $k = 2$

2.3 Determination of certified value of primary certified reference material

For certified value and its uncertainty determination of secondary CRM we use primary CRM prepared at our institute.

Primary CRMs prepared at SMU by gravimetric method and the certified values calculated from the weighing of components are validated by analysis of the primary CRMs. [4, 5]

By this way our primary CRMs are traceable to unit kg. The purities of parent gases are determined by gas chromatography and they are taken into account during weighing of components.

Here we describe the process of determination of certified value and its uncertainty:

Primary CRM: 0,00008127 mol/mol, $U = 0,00000026$ mol/mol.

Where U is including

$u(m_v)$ standard uncertainty of nitrogen sample weight - normal distribution,

$u(M_k)$ standard uncertainty of ethanol sample weight - normal distribution,

$u(x_{kv})$ standard uncertainty of nitrogen impurities - rectangular distribution,

validation uncertainty 0,25% - rectangular distribution,

mixture stability uncertainty 0,35% - rectangular distribution.

3. Conclusion

In this paper we show a way to check the continuous chain of traceability steps. We described the process of uncertainty investigation in every single step illustrated on real data from breath analysers verification using certified reference material of ethanol in nitrogen. As fulfil of maximum permissible errors criteria strongly depends on the uncertainty of measurement, it should be determined by correct way. Only those breath analysers, that meet the requirements of maximum permissible errors criteria, can get authorization for use as the evidence measurement device.

Acknowledgements

The work was partly supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency, projects APVV-15-0295, APVV-15-0164, the Scientific Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education of the Slovak Republic and the Slovak Academy of Sciences, projects VEGA 2/0054/18, VEGA 1/0556/18 VEGA - 1/0098/18 and KEGA039STU-4/2017.

References

- [1] ISO 6143: 2001 Gas analysis – Comparison methods for determining and checking the composition of calibration gas
- [2] Ďuriš S, Ďurišová Z, Dovica M, Wimmer G: EIV calibration of gas mixture of ethanol in nitrogen. Advanced Mathematical and Computational Tools in Metrology and Testing XI, International Conference, pp. 5-6
- [3] PP 14/260/00 Pracovný postup na prípravu RM plyných zmesí gravimetrickou metódou (Working procedure for preparation of gaseous reference materials by gravimetric method), SMU, 2000.
- [4] ISO 6142: 2001 Gas analysis – Preparation of calibration gas mixtures – Gravimetric method
- [5] Alink A and van der Veen A M H: Uncertainty calculations for the preparation of primary gas mixtures. Part 1: Gravimetry. Metrologia 37, 2000, ISSN 0026-1394, pp.641-50